

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,401	06/01/2001	Tuan Nguyen	2001 P 09906 US 2647	
7:	590 04/14/2006		EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation			PHAN, THAI Q	
Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Iselin, NJ 088	330		2128	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	9/872,401	NGUYEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	xaminer	Art Unit			
T	hai Phan	2128			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply	rs on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence add	fress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 January	ary 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	oarte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)	from consideration.				
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2001</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the draw Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam 	wing(s) be held in abeyance. See is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	-152)		

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicants' Appeal dated 1/26/2006 (the "Appeal Brief").

In view of the Appeal Brief, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

- 2. To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the flowing two options:
- (a) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.1 11 (if this Office action in non-final) or a reply under 37. CFR 1.1 13 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (b) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2128

2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-12, 14-20, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Spira et al, US patent application publication no. 2003/0172002.

As per claim 1, Spira anticipates a method and system for building a plant operation and providing services to the plant model with feature limitations very identical to the claimed invention. According to Spira, the method includes steps

Identifying a solution for the customer/client's assembly plant through consultation service, plant operation, etc [134-137],

Modeling the plant in a computer system in real time or operating conditions for performance analysis and simulation ([0031], [0052], [106], [112], [115], [129], for example),

Defining performance matrix or data for service model and process simulation, Prioritizing the solution on service demand,

Analyzing cost or benefit of the model for plant production, service consultation, business operation, etc to the customer or ownership ([112-114], [116], [158], [162], [252-310], for example). The whole modeling process takes place within an appropriate time or available time window [0031] to provide support to the local services, business demand, cost analysis, business review, and others related to customer's needs and desires [110-116], [124], [157], for example. In other word, Spira takes time factor and minimizes the process modeling time as short as possible into consideration for modeling and analyzing a plant service, business consultation, planning an assembly production line.

Art Unit: 2128

As per claim 2, Spira considers business data flow model in the modeling process, which would cover material flow, real time process operations, etc.

As per claim 3, Spira anticipates a performance analysis model including model simulation as claimed ([301-330], for example).

As per claims 5-7 and 9, Spira provides proposed solutions including cost information, maintenance, demanding schedule, etc.

As per claim 10, Spira anticipates a method and system for building a plant operation and providing services to the plant model with feature limitations very identical to the claimed invention. According to Spira, the method includes steps

Identifying a solution for the customer/client's assembly plant or process requirements for consultation service, plant operation, etc [134-137],

Modeling the plant in a computer system in real time or operating conditions for performance analysis and simulation ([0031], [0052], [106], [112], [115], [129], for example),

Defining performance matrix or data for service model and process simulation, Prioritizing the solution on service demand,

Analyzing cost or benefit of the model for plant production, service consultation, business operation, etc to the customer or ownership ([112-114], [116], [158], [162], [252-310], for example).

As per claims 11-12, Spira anticipates data flow model, process flow and maintenance model as claimed for manufacture material flow.

Art Unit: 2128

As per claims 14-17, Spira anticipates plant machine data or plant information for process assembly, software tools for modeling and cost analysis, operating parameters, ownership model, etc.

As per claim 18, due to the similarity of claim 18 to the rejected claims above, and Spira anticipates a method and system for building a plant operation and providing services to the plant model with feature limitations very identical to the claimed invention. According to Spira, the method includes steps

Identifying a solution for the customer/client's assembly plant or process requirements for consultation service, plant operation, etc [134-137],

Modeling the plant in a computer system in real time or operating conditions for performance analysis and simulation ([0031], [0052], [106], [112], [115], [129], for example),

Defining performance matrix or data for service model and process simulation, Prioritizing the solution on service demand,

Analyzing cost or benefit of the model for plant production, service consultation, business operation, etc to the customer or ownership ([112-114], [116], [158], [162], [252-310], for example). Spira also provides the entire modeling process is within a time window or time frame as claimed to meet user's demand.

As per claims 19-20 and 22-24, the claims are directed to subject matter as in the rejected claims as above. Claims 19-20 and 22-24 are also rejected in like manner.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims1-3, 5-7, 9-12, 14-20, and 22-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 1. US patent no. 6,161,101, issued to Guinta et al, on Dec. 2000
- 2. US patent no. 6,810,401, issued to Thompson et al, on Oct. 2004
- 3. US patent application publication no. US 2006/0059253, issued to Goodman et al, on Mar. 2006
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thai Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-3783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on 571-272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/872,401 Page 7

Art Unit: 2128

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Apr. 10, 2006

Thai Phan

Patent Examiner